The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

JONATHAN BERALL, M.D., M.P.H., CASE NO. 2:2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

Plaintiff,

VERATHON INC.,

v.

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00944-RSM

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1. <u>PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS</u>

Discovery in this action is likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection may be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this agreement is consistent with LCR 26(c). It does not confer blanket protection on all disclosures or responses to discovery, the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles, and it does not presumptively entitle parties to file confidential information under seal.

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER—1

[Case No.: 2:21-cv-00944-RSM]

NEWMAN DU WORS LLP

2101 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 1500 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98121 (206) 274-2800

2. "CONFIDENTIAL" MATERIAL

"Confidential" material may be designated "CONFIDENTIAL," or for information that the Producing Party deems especially sensitive "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY," and shall include, but is not limited to, the following documents and tangible things produced or otherwise exchanged: confidential research and development, financial, technical, and marketing documents; communications with regulatory agencies; any other sensitive trade secret information; and information capable of being utilized for the preparation or prosecution of a patent application dealing with such subject matter.

3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this agreement cover not only confidential material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from confidential material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of confidential material; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or their counsel that might reveal confidential material.

However, the protections conferred by this agreement do not cover information that is in the public domain or becomes part of the public domain other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving party in violation of this Order, including through trial. The protections afforded by this Order also cover and will continue to cover information disclosed in breach of the provisions of this order.

4. ACCESS TO AND USE OF CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

4.1 <u>Basic Principles</u>. A receiving party may use confidential material that is disclosed or produced by another party or by a non-party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle the above-captioned litigation. Confidential material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this agreement. Confidential material must be stored and maintained by a receiving party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this agreement.

4.2

6

14

26

2101 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 1500 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98121 (206) 274-2800

by the court or permitted in writing by the designating party, a receiving party may disclose any confidential material only to:

(a) the receiving party's counsel of record in this action, as well as employees

<u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered

- (a) the receiving party's counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation;
- (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including in house counsel) of the receiving party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, unless the producing party designates a particular document or material as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" (or the substantial equivalent);
- (c) experts and consultants, including but not limited to mock jurors, to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
 - (d) the court, court personnel, and court reporters and their staff;
- (e) copy or imaging services retained by counsel to assist in the duplication of confidential material, provided that counsel for the party retaining the copy or imaging service instructs the service not to disclose any confidential material to third parties and to immediately return all originals and copies of any confidential material;
- (f) during their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the designating party or ordered by the court. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal confidential material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this agreement;
- (g) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.

Case 2:21-cv-00944-RSM Document 351 Filed 09/20/21 Page 4 of 11

The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

4.3 <u>Disclosure of and Challenges to Experts or Consultants.</u> A receiving party
seeking to provide confidential material to an expert or consultant shall provide the producing
party with (i) a current curriculum vitae of the expert or consultant (ii) a list of all other cases in
which, during the previous 4 years, the witness testified as an expert at trial or by deposition, (iii)
a list of any past consulting relationships in the industry to the extent readily known to the
consultant or receiving party, and (iv) a completed and signed copy of Exhibit A.

- (a) After ten (10) business days, the receiving party may make the requested disclosures to the identified expert or consultant unless the producing party makes a written objection that sets forth in detail all the factual and legal bases for the objection.
- (b) If a written objection is received, the parties must meet and confer within 7 days to try to resolve the issues raised in the objection. If no agreement is reached, the party objecting to the requested disclosure (i.e., the producing party) must file a motion as provided by Local Civil Rule 7 seeking an appropriate protective order to preserve its objection. The objecting party (i.e., the producing party) bears the burden of proof. If no motion is filed as required above the objection is deemed waive and the receiving party may make the requested disclosures to the identified expert or consultant.
- 4.4 <u>Filing Confidential Material</u>. Before filing confidential material or discussing or referencing such material in court filings, the filing party shall confer with the designating party, in accordance with Local Civil Rule 5(g)(3)(A), to determine whether the designating party will remove the confidential designation, whether the document can be redacted, or whether a motion to seal or stipulation and proposed order is warranted. During the meet and confer process, the designating party must identify the basis for sealing the specific confidential information at issue, and the filing party shall include this basis in its motion to seal, along with any objection to sealing the information at issue. Local Civil Rule 5(g) sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal. A party who seeks to maintain the confidentiality of its

3

information must satisfy the requirements of Local Civil Rule 5(g)(3)(B), even if it is not the party filing the motion to seal. Failure to satisfy this requirement will result in the motion to seal being depied in accordance with the strong presumption of public access to the Court's files

denied, in accordance with the strong presumption of public access to the Court's files.DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

56

7 8

9

1011

12 13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each party or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this agreement must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. The designating party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify, so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this agreement.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (*e.g.*, to unnecessarily encumber or delay the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) expose the designating party to sanctions.

If it comes to a designating party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection, the designating party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

- 5.2 <u>Manner and Timing of Designations</u>. Except as otherwise provided in this agreement (see, *e.g.*, second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, disclosure or discovery material that qualifies for protection under this agreement must be clearly so designated before or when the material is disclosed or produced.
- (a) <u>Information in documentary form:</u> (e.g., paper or electronic documents and deposition exhibits, but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), the designating party must affix the word "CONFIDENTIAL" or the phrase "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" to each page that contains

2

4 5

67

9

10

8

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

confidential material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the producing party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins).

- (b) Testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial proceedings: the parties and any participating non-parties must identify on the record, during the deposition or other pretrial proceeding, all protected testimony, without prejudice to their right to so designate other testimony after reviewing the transcript. Any party or non-party may, within fifteen days after receiving the transcript of the deposition or other pretrial proceeding, designate portions of the transcript, or exhibits thereto, as confidential. If a party or non-party desires to protect confidential information at trial, the issue should be addressed during the pre-trial conference.
- on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the word "CONFIDENTIAL" or the phrase "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY." If only a portion or portions of the information or item warrant protection, the producing party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s).
- 5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the designating party's right to secure protection under this agreement for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the receiving party must make reasonable efforts to ensure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this agreement.

6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Any party or non-party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a designating party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a party does not waive its right to

challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the

original designation is disclosed.

6

10

1213

14

15

1617

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

6.2 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> The parties must make every attempt to resolve any dispute regarding confidential designations without court involvement. Any motion regarding confidential designations or for a protective order must include a certification, in the motion or in a declaration or affidavit, that the movant has engaged in a good faith meet and confer conference with other affected parties in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action. The certification must list the date, manner, and participants to the conference. A good faith effort to confer requires a faceto-face meeting or a telephone conference.

- 6.3 <u>Judicial Intervention</u>. If the parties cannot resolve a challenge without court intervention, the designating party may file and serve a motion to retain confidentiality under Local Civil Rule 7 (and in compliance with Local Civil Rule 5(g), if applicable). The burden of persuasion in any such motion shall be on the designating party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (*e.g.*, to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the challenging party to sanctions. All parties shall continue to maintain the material in question as confidential until the court rules on the challenge.
- 7. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY" that party must:

- (a) promptly (and in any event, within 14 days) notify the designating party in writing and include a copy of the subpoena or court order;
- (b) promptly (and in any event, within 14 days) notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered

The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

by the subpoena or order is subject to this agreement. Such notification shall include a copy of this agreement; and

(c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the designating party whose confidential material may be affected.

8. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a receiving party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed confidential material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this agreement, the receiving party must immediately (a) notify in writing the designating party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the protected material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this agreement, and (d) request that such person or persons execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. <u>INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED</u> MATERIAL

When a producing party gives notice to receiving parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the receiving parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order or agreement that provides for production without prior privilege review. The parties agree to the entry of a non-waiver order under Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) as set forth herein.

10. NON TERMINATION AND RETURN OF DOCUMENTS

Within 60 days after the termination of this action, including all appeals, each receiving party in its discretion must either return or destroy all confidential material to the producing party, including all copies, extracts and summaries thereof. Alternatively, the parties may agree upon appropriate methods of destruction.

26

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Case 2:21-cv-00944-RSM Document 351 Filed 09/20/21 Page 9 of 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

[Case No.: 2:21-cv-00944-RSM]

The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

Notwithstanding this provision, counsel are entitled to retain one archival copy of all documents filed with the court, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant and expert work product, even if such materials contain confidential material. The confidentiality obligations imposed by this agreement shall remain in effect until a designating party agrees otherwise in writing or a court orders otherwise. IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD. DATED: September 7, 2021 DATED: September 7, 2021 Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant /s/ Derek A. Newman /s/ Patricia A. Eakes /s/ Jason B. Sykes /s/ Emily Powell Derek A. Newman, WSBA No. 26967 Patricia A. Eakes Jason B. Sykes, WSBA No. 44369 **Emily Powell** NEWMAN DU WORS LLP CALFO EAKES LLP 2101 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1500 1301 Second Ave, Suite 2800 Seattle, WA 98121 Seattle, WA 981011 Telephone: (206) 274-2800 Telephone: (206) 407-2227 dn@newmanlaw.com pattye@calfoeakes.com jason@newmanlaw.com emilyp@calfoeakes.com Christopher DeCoro, Ph.D., pro hac vice Ruffin B Cordell (admitted pro hac vice) Ashley Ross, pro hac vice FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. Stefan M. Miller, Ph.D., pro hac vice 1000 Main Ave SW Peter J. Evangelatos, pro hac vice Washington, DC 20024 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP cordell@fr.com 601 Lexington Ave. New York, NY 10022 Telephone: 1-212-446-4954 Thad C. Kodish (admitted pro hac vice) Ashley.Ross@kirkland.com Charles N. Reese, Jr. (admitted pro hac vice) Stefan.Miller@kirkland.com Wonjoon Chung (admitted pro hac vice) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. Christopher.DeCoro@kirkland.com Peter.Evangelatos@kirkland.com 1180 Peachtree St NE 21st Floor Atlanta, GA 30309 James Hurst, P.C., pro hac vice tkodish@fr.com Marcus Sernel, P.C., pro hac vice creese@fr.com Tasha Francis Gerasimow, Ph.D., pro hac vice chung@fr.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654 2101 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 1500 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER—9 NEWMAN DU WORS LLP SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98121

(206) 274-2800

Case 2:21-cv-00944-RSM Document 351 Filed 09/20/21 Page 10 of 11

The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

Telephone: 1-312-862-5230 Counsel for Defendant 1 James.Hurst@kirkland.com Verathon Inc. Marcus.Sernel@kirkland.com 2 Tasha.Gerasimow@kirkland.com 3 John Patrick Bailey 4 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 5 Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: 1-202-389-5100 6 John.Bailey@kirkland.com 7 Counsel for Plaintiff Jonathan Berall, M.D., M.P.H 8 9 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any 11 documents in this proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or 12 state proceeding, constitute a waiver by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those 13 documents, including the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other 14 privilege or protection recognized by law. 15 16 DATED this 20th day of September, 2021. 17 18 19 20 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER—10

[Case No.: 2:21-cv-00944-RSM]

NEWMAN DU WORS LLP

2101 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 1500 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98121 (206) 274-2800

Case 2:21-cv-00944-RSM Document 351 Filed 09/20/21 Page 11 of 11

The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

1	EXHIBIT A
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND
3	I, [print or type full name], of
4	[print or type full address], declare under penalty of
5	perjury that I have read in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was
6	issued by the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington on [date] in the
7	case of Jonathan Berall, v. Verathon Inc., No. 2:21-cv-00944-RSMJ. I agree to comply with and
8	to be bound by all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge
9	that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt.
10	I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject
11	to this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the
12	provisions of this Order.
13	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the
14	Western District of Washington for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective
15	Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.
16	Date:
17	City and State where sworn and signed:
18	Printed name:
19	Signature:
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	han Foundation of the 1500